## Selective targeting of feedback for best effect on learning

Steve Draper, April 2010

[ This handout, and the talk slides, are available from: http://www.psy.gla.ac.uk/~steve/talks/ltc10c.html ]

## References

Biggs,J.B. (2003) *Teaching for Quality learning at university: What the student does* (2nd edition) (SRHE / OUP: Buckingham, UK)

Black & Wiliam (1998) "Assessment and classroom learning" Assessment in Education vol.5 no1 pp.7-74

- Draper, S.W. (2009) "Catalytic assessment: understanding how MCQs and EVS can foster deep learning" *British Journal of Educational Technology* vol.40 no.2 pp.285-293
- John Hattie and Helen Timperley (2007) "The Power of Feedback" *Review of Educational Research* Vol. 77, No. 1, pp. 81–112
- Morrow, M.I. (2006) "An Application of Peer Feedback to Undergraduates' Writing of Critical Literature Reviews" *Practice and Evidence of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education* vol.1 no.2 pp.61-72
- NSS (National Student Survey) http://www.hefce.ac.uk/learning/nss/data/2009/

PeerWise: (Software to manage student-authored MCQs) http://peerwise.cs.auckland.ac.nz/

- Price,M., O'Donovan,B. & Rust,C. (2007) "Putting a social-constructivist assessment process model into practice: building the feedback loop into the assessment process through peer review" *Innovations in Education and Teaching International* Vol. 44, No. 2, May 2007, pp. 143–152
- RPC (Reciprocal Peer Critiquing): see: http://www.psy.gla.ac.uk/~steve/localed/#mcr
- Chris Rust, Margaret Price & Berry O'Donovan (2003) "Improving Students' Learning by Developing their Understanding of Assessment Criteria and Processes" Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, Vol. 28, No. 2, pp.147-164
- Sadler, D.R. (1989) Formative assessment and the design of instructional systems *Instructional Science* 18(2), 119-144.

## Anomalous NSS result: 22 questions in order of rank gained by one dept relative to other depts

Rank on	Qu. nmb.	Qu. Text
that qu.		
1	14	Any changes in the course or teaching have been communicated effectively.
2	15	The course is well organised and is running smoothly.
5	22	Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course.
8	6	Assessment arrangements and marking have been fair.
8	11	I have been able to contact staff when I needed to.
8	16	The library resources and services are good enough for my needs.
11	13	The timetable works efficiently as far as my activities are concerned.
16	1	Staff are good at explaining things.
16	4	The course is intellectually stimulating.
21	10	I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies.
22 22	2	Staff have made the subject interesting.
22	18	I have been able to access specialised equipment, facilities or rooms when I needed to.
25	3	Staff are enthusiastic about what they are teaching.
31	12	Good advice was available when I needed to make study choices.
35	5	The criteria used in marking have been clear in advance.
40	17	I have been able to access general IT resources when I needed to.
46	21	As a result of the course, I feel confident in tackling unfamiliar problems.
48	20	My communication skills have improved.
51	19	The course has helped me present myself with confidence.
54	7	Feedback on my work has been prompt.
79	9	Feedback on my work has helped me clarify things I did not understand.
101	8	I have received detailed comments on my work.