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A reminder from Lynda Young

In the exam halls:
• Use a separate answer booklet for EVERY question answered
• On EACH booklet:
  □ Write your Matriculation (student) number clearly
  □ Write the number of the question answered clearly

Time management sketch for each qu.

• 60 mins total per exam answer
• 2 mins lost (e.g. drop your pen, have to fill in the exam attendance sheet, etc.)
• 27.47 mins to read the 67 questions and select one
• 2 mins recall brain dump
• 1 min. select the subset of points actually relevant for this question: I.e. Deciding what to leave out.
• 2 mins to write an essay plan
• 49-51 mins to write the text of your answer.

Task 1: Brain dump

Take a sheet of paper, and write down all the points that might help in writing an answer to the question. Focus on not leaving anything useful out.

Exam qu: [Social Cognition]

"Are our judgments of attractiveness heavily influenced by facial symmetry?"

Task 2: Relevance selection

Set aside the sheet of paper you wrote your “brain dump” on, and pick up the pink handout sheet with the new task, and follow its instructions.

Exam qu: [Social Cognition]

"Are our judgments of attractiveness heavily influenced by facial symmetry?"

Scoring (instructions)

I’m going to put up the list of points in the relevance exercise, divided into relevant, and not relevant to include in an answer.

Using it, first take your marked handout, pick up a different coloured pen, and tot up your score at the bottom:
• How many items you wrongly left in
• How many items you wrongly crossed out

Then go back to your “brain dump” sheet of paper.
• Use your differently coloured pen
• How many items on the “include” list are NOT in your brain dump list: that is your “penalty” score for that exercise.
Paddy’s proposed answers

Include:
- Experiments on facial symmetry
- History of attempts to produce symmetric faces
- Health and symmetry
- Sociobiology of symmetry
- Mention ONLY briefly
  - Body symmetry fluctuating
  - Neotony
  - Facial proportion

Exclude:
- Dating studies and interpersonal attraction
- A long coverage of sociobiology in general
- Waist hip ratio, height etc.

Comments

Including irrelevant material, while not fatal, gives the impression that you have “learned” the course, but that you don’t understand the material or you would know it isn’t relevant to the question.

It is hard retrieving everything you need in a hurry. It seems to be more effective to jot down anything that comes to mind (with one thing sometimes prompting other retrievals) and then later deleting what isn’t strictly relevant.

If (like most people) you can’t recall everything “cold”, mnemonics can help you recall the basics reliably. Then these will probably remind you of more associated things. (Perhaps 75% of the use of slides in lectures is to overcome unreliable recall by lecturers: but with those prompts they easily recall loads of further detail.)

Task 3: Brain dump

Take a new sheet of paper, and write down all the points that might help in writing an answer to the question. Focus on not leaving anything useful out.

Exam qu: [Psychological Interventions]

"What theories are effective treatments for Borderline Personality Disorders?"

Task 4: Relevance selection

Set aside the sheet of paper you wrote your “brain dump” on, and pick up the green handout sheet with the new task, and follow its instructions.

Exam qu: [Psychological Interventions]

"What theories are effective treatments for Borderline Personality Disorders?"

Scoring (instructions)

I’m going to put up the list of points in the relevance exercise, divided into relevant, and not relevant to include in an answer.

Using it, first take your marked handout, pick up a different coloured pen, and tot up your score at the bottom:
- How many items you wrongly left in
- How many items you wrongly crossed out

Then go back to your “brain dump” sheet of paper.
- Use your differently coloured pen
- How many items on the “include” list are NOT in your brain dump list: that is your “penalty” score for that exercise.

Paddy’s proposed answers

Include:
- The role of DBT in BPD
- Role of psychodynamic therapies
- History of BPD briefly
- Definitions of psychodynamic therapies

Exclude:
- A long coverage of DBT
- The history and effectiveness in general of psychodynamic therapies
- Jung
- Freud
- Detail of CBT
- Effectiveness of therapies and therapists in general
Micro Critical thinking exercise

Instructions

In this task, you will be asked to write for just 5 minutes on a particular topic, like a mini critical review. By this, I mean I would like you to give in miniature a balanced critical argument on the topic:

• Giving reasons or evidence, not only assertions
• Discussing more than one view
• Mentioning reasons against, not only what supports your view
• Coming to a conclusion, not sitting on the fence

You will be allowed five minutes to complete each passage. Significant effort is required, despite the fact you are only allocated a short amount of time. Pilot studies showed that on average, participants managed to hand-write 14 lines of text. When it is indicated that the five minutes are up, you will be told to stop writing.

Micro-CR exercise 1

You have 5 minutes to complete this. Pilot studies showed that on average, participants managed to hand-write 14 lines of text.

Give a balanced critical argument on:

Children nowadays are wrapped in cotton wool – discuss.

Micro-CR scoring

Swap papers with your neighbour.
Use your alternative coloured pen.
Given them a score out of 4 with 1 mark for each of:

1. Did they include more than one view?
2. Did they give reasons or evidence for the views mentioned?
3. Did they include reasons on both sides of the topic?
4. Did they include a statement showing their clear support for one view in preference to the others on the topic?

Micro-CR exercise 2

You have 5 minutes to complete this. Pilot studies showed that on average, participants managed to hand-write 14 lines of text.

Give a balanced critical argument on:

Travel abroad broadens the mind.

Micro-CR scoring

Swap papers with your neighbour.
Use your alternative coloured pen.
Given them a score out of 4 with 1 mark for each of:

1. Did they include more than one view?
2. Did they give reasons or evidence for the views mentioned?
3. Did they include reasons on both sides of the topic?
4. Did they include a statement showing their clear support for one view in preference to the others on the topic?